

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

OCT - 2

PCT HALE AND DORR LLP

To: IRAH H. DONNER
HALE AND DORR LLP
1455 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of Mailing
(day/month/year)

28 SEP 2001

Applicant's or agent's file reference

110275-4300WO1

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No.

PCT/US00/29529

International filing date (day/month/year)

27 OCTOBER 2000

Priority Date (day/month/year)

28 OCTOBER 1999

Applicant

MOTTENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.
4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

TARIQ R HAFIZ

Telephone No. (703) 305-9643

Form PCT/IPEA/416 (July 1992)*

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 110275-4300WO1	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/US00/29529	International filing date (day/month/year) 27 OCTOBER 2000	Priority date (day/month/year) 28 OCTOBER 1999
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): G06F 153:00 and US Cl.: 705/14, 28		
Applicant MOTIENT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY		

<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of <u>3</u> sheets.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority. (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of <u>0</u> sheets.</p>
<p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p>I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report</p> <p>II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority</p> <p>III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability</p> <p>IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention</p> <p>V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p>VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited</p> <p>VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application</p> <p>VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application</p>

Date of submission of the demand 25 MAY 2001	Date of completion of this report 12 AUGUST 2001
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer <i>James R. Matthews</i> TARIQ R HAFIZ Telephone No. (703) 305-9643

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*

☒ the international application as originally filed☒ the description

pages 1-20

as originally filed

pages NONE

filed with the demand

pages NONE

filed with the letter of

☒ the claims.

pages 21-28

as originally filed

pages NONE

as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19

pages NONE

filed with the demand

pages NONE

filed with the letter of

☒ the drawings.

pages 1-17

as originally filed

pages NONE

filed with the demand

pages NONE

filed with the letter of

☒ the sequence listing part of the
description: NONE

as originally filed

pages NONE

filed with the demand

pages NONE

filed with the letter of

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: _____ which is:

☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).☐ the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international

☐ contained in the international application in printed form.☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.4. ☒ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:☒ the description, pages: NONE☒ the claims, Nos.: NONE☒ the drawings, sheets-fig: NONE5. ☐ This report has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).*

* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).

**Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US00/29529

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**1. statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1-53</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims <u>1-53</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-53</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Claims 1-14 meet criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest receiving and cumulatively storing the data transmitted by each of said plurality of data generating machines corresponding to a predetermined time period, and including at least one application software program running thereon that analyzes the aggregated data.

Claims 15-30, meets criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest receiving the transmitted data at at least one computer, storing the cumulatively the data transmitted over a predetermined time period by each of the plurality of data generating and analyzing the cumulatively stored data.

Claims 31-43 meets criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest storing cumulatively the data transmitted by each of the plurality of data generating machines in a first format and analyzing the cumulatively stored data.

Claims 44-53 meets criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest means for receiving and cumulatively storing the data transmitted by said means for transmitting, said means for receiving is responsively connectable to said means for transmitting, a terrestrial, Internet, satellite and landline network and means for analyzing the cumulatively stored data.

----- NEW CITATIONS -----
NONE